

## Annual Review Of Base Rates for Fuel Costs Of Carolina Power & Light Company

MAR 0 9 2000

Docket No. 2000-001-E

HEARING DATE March 23, 2000



Testimony of Jacqueline R. Cherry Auditing Department

The Public Service Commission of South Carolina

1		
2		
3		
4		TESTIMONY OF JACQUELINE R. CHERRY
5		FOR
6 7		THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA
8		DOCUMENTO ADDO DOLLER
9 10		DOCKET NO. 2000-001-E
11		IN RE: CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
12		
13 14	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND
15	Q.	OCCUPATION?
	Ā	
16	A.	My name is Jacqueline R. Cherry. My business address is 101 Executive Center
17		Drive, Columbia, South Carolina. I am employed by the Public Service Commission
18		of South Carolina, Auditing Department, as an auditor.
19	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
20		EXPERIENCE.
21	A.	I received a B. S. Degree in Business Administration, with a major in Accounting
22		from Johnson C. Smith University in 1976. I was employed by this Commission in
23		February 1979, and have participated in cases involving gas, electric, telephone,
24		water and wastewater utilities.
25	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
26		PROCEEDING?
27	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to set forth, in summary form, the Staff's findings,
28		and recommendations resulting from our review of the Company's fuel adjustment
29		clause operation for the period January 1999 through March 2000. These findings
30		and recommendations are set forth in detail in the Staff's report.
31	Q.	WHAT WAS THE SCOPE OF YOUR AUDIT?

1	A.	The Auditing Department Staff traced the information as filed in the Company's
2		required monthly filing, to the Company's books and records. The audit covered the
3		period January 1999 through December 1999. The purpose of the audit was to
4		determine if Carolina Power & Light Company had computed and applied the
5		monthly Fuel Adjustment Clause in accordance with the approved clause. To
6		accomplish this, Staff examined the components surrounding the operation of the
7		clause.
8	Q.	WHAT WERE THE STEPS THAT THE STAFF EMPLOYED WITHIN THE
9		SCOPE OF THE AUDIT?
10	A.	The examination consisted of the following:
11		1. Analysis of Account # 151 - Fuel Stock
12		2. Sample of Receipts to the Fuel Stock Account - Account # 151
13		3. Verification of Charges to Nuclear Fuel Expense, Account # 518
14		4. An Analysis of Purchased Power and Interchange (Net)
15		5. Verification of KWH Sales
16		6. A Comparison of Coal Costs
17		7. Recomputation of Fuel Costs Adjustment Factor and Verification of
18		Deferred Fuel Costs
19		8. Recomputation of True-up for the (Over)Under-Recovered Fuel Costs
20		9. Analysis of Spot Coal Purchasing Procedures
21	Q.	MRS. CHERRY, WOULD YOU ELABORATE ON THE SCOPE OF YOUR
22		EXAMINATION?
23	A.	Staff's analysis of the Fuel Stock Account consisted of tracing receipts and issues
24		from the Fuel Management System by month to the General Ledger. Staff's sample
25		of receipts to the Fuel Stock Account consisted of randomly selecting transactions,
26		tracing each of these transactions to a waybill and a purchase order for
27		documentation purposes, and recalculating the transactions to insure mathematical
28		correctness. Staff verified nuclear fuel expense amounts to the Company's General
29		Ledger. The expenses were also verified to the monthly fuel reports filed by the

Company with this Commission. Staff performed an examination of the Company's
purchased power and interchange amount used in the Fuel Adjustment Clause for the
period January 1999 through December 1999. Staff obtained the details of
purchases and sales made by Carolina Power & Light Company to and from other
electric utilities and verified the amounts which are being used in computing total
fuel cost for each month. Furthermore, in accordance with PSC Order No. 90-961,
Docket No. 90-4-E, dated October 18, 1990, Staff was specifically directed to
examine the Company's nonfirm, off-system sales to preclude any possible problems
in this area and to include language in its audit procedures to address these matters.
Accordingly, Staff traced the sales and purchases transactions for January 1999
through December 1999 to the Company's monthly sales and purchases invoices.
Staff recomputed all of the sales and purchases. In accordance with Public Service
Commission Order No. 90-961, Docket No. 90-4-E, dated October 18, 1990, Staff
will continue to review the Company's nonfirm, off-system transactions during
future audits. Staff's review of KWH sales included verification of total system
sales as filed in the monthly factor computation. Staff prepared exhibits from
Carolina Power & Light Company's books and records reflecting coal costs during
the review period. Specifically, these exhibits are as follows:
Exhibit A - Coal Cost Statistics (and Weighted Average of Coal Received)
Exhibit B - Received Coal - Cost Per Ton Per Plant
Exhibit C - Received Coal - Cost Per Ton Comparison
With reference to Exhibit A, Coal Cost Statistics, Staff has reflected a detailed
analysis of spot and contract coal for the twelve -month period January 1999
through December 1999. The detail gives emphasis to tons received, cost per ton
received, total received cost, percentage of tons received and cost per MBTU. Also,
in Exhibit A, the Weighted Average of Coal Received is reflected for the twelve-
month period. In Exhibits B and C, Staff reflects a comparison of coal costs on a per
ton basis. Exhibit B is a comparison between Carolina Power & Light's plants and
Exhibit C is a comparison between companies.

1		Staff analyzed the cumulative under-recovery of fuel costs that the Company had
2		incurred for the period January 1999 through December 1999, totaling \$17,967,157.
3		Staff added the projected under-recovery of \$580,965 for the month of January 2000
4		the projected over-recovery of \$79,659 for the month of February 2000, and the
5		projected under-recovery of \$428,196 for the month of March 2000 to arrive at an
6		cumulative under-recovery of \$18,896,659 as of March 2000. The Company's
7	•	cumulative under-recovery, per its testimony in Docket No. 2000-001-E, as of
8		December 1999 totals \$21,321,308 and as of March 2000 the cumulative under-
9		recovery totals \$22,250,810. The difference between the Company's and the Staff's
10		cumulative under-recovery as of actual December 1999 and as of estimated March
11		2000 is \$3,354,151. The cumulative difference as of December 1999 of \$3,354,151
12		is based on Staff's calculation adjustments to the Company's Purchased Power Costs
13		for January 1999 through December 1999 (per Staff's report), after Staff reviewed
14		the Company's Purchased Power invoices and reports.
15		As stated in Carolina Power & Light's S.C. Retail Adjustment for Fuel Costs Rider,
16		fuel costs will be included in base rates to the extent determined reasonable and
17		proper by the Commission. Accordingly, the Commission should consider the
18		under-recovery of \$18,896,659 along with the anticipated fuel costs for the period
19		April 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001, for the purpose of determining the base costs
20		of fuel in base rates effective April 1, 2000. The \$18,896,659 under-recovery figure
21		was provided to the Commission's Utilities Department. Refer to Exhibit G, South
22		Carolina Fuel Costs Computation, for details of the under-recovery computation.
23	Q.	MRS. CHERRY, WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF THE AUDITING
24		DEPARTMENT'S AUDIT?
25	A.	Based on the Staff's examination of Carolina Power & Light Company's books and
26		records, a comparison of fuel costs among utilities, and the utilization of the fuel
27		costs recovery mechanisms as directed by this Commission, the Auditing
28		Department is of the opinion that the Company has complied with the directives of
29		the Commission.

1	Q.	MRS. CHERRY, WOULD YOU IDENTIFY THE REMAINING EXHIBITS
2		CONTAINED IN YOUR REPORT WHICH HAVE NOT BEEN
3		IDENTIFIED?
4	A.	The exhibits are as follows:
5		Exhibit D - Coal Fuel Stocks - Number of Days of Supply (All Plants)
6		Exhibit E - Total Burned Costs (Fossil and Nuclear)
7		Exhibit F – Cost of Fuel
8		Exhibit G – S.C. Fuel Costs Computation
9	Q.	MRS. CHERRY, DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
10	A.	Yes, it does.